

A priori estimate for axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations near the axis of symmetry

Wojciech M. Zajączkowski

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,

Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail: wz@impan.pl;

Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty,

Military University of Technology, Kaliskiego 2,

00-908 Warsaw, Poland

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 76D03, 76D05, 35Q30, 35B65, 35D10

Key words and phrases: Navier-Stokes equations, axially symmetric solutions, large swirl, regularity near the axis of symmetry

Abstract. We consider the axially symmetric solutions with large swirl to the Navier-Stokes equations. Let v_r , v_φ , v_z be the cylindrical coordinates of velocity and $\chi = v_{r,z} - v_{z,r}$ be a component of vorticity. Let $V_2^k(\Omega^T)$ be a space with the finite norm

$$\|w\|_{V_2^k(\Omega^T)} = \|w\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H^k(\Omega))} + \|\nabla w\|_{L_2(0,T;H^k(\Omega))},$$

$k = 0, 1$. We proved the a priori estimate for $\|\chi\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\zeta^T)}$, where $\Omega_\zeta = \{x \in \Omega : r \leq 2r_0\}$ and r_0 is so small that the swirl $u = rv_\varphi$ satisfies

$$(1) \quad \|u\|_{C(0,T;C^{1/2}(\Omega_\zeta))} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{4}}\nu,$$

where ν is the viscosity and u vanishes on the axis of symmetry. (1) is proved under the a priori estimate that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ (see [Z5]).

Next, having that $\chi \in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ (proof of the estimate for $\|\chi\|_{V_2^0((\Omega \setminus \Omega_\zeta)^T)}$ is not difficult) the elliptic problem $v_{r,z} - v_{z,r} = \chi$, $v_{r,r} + v_{z,z} + \frac{v_r}{r} = 0$ implies that $v' = (v_r, v_z) \in V_2^1(\Omega^T)$, so $v' \in L_{10}(\Omega^T)$. The above construction

implies the following step by step procedure. Let $v(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ then $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$. Next $\chi \in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ implies that $v(T) \in H^1(\Omega)$, where $v_\varphi(T) \in H^1(\Omega)$ is shown separately. This will be a topic of the next paper (see [Z4]).

1. Introduction

The uniqueness and regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations are important open problems in the incompressible hydrodynamics. Since the problems are very difficult in a general case there are examined special solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations such as: two-dimensional, axially symmetric, helicoidal. The problems were solved for two-dimensional solutions in [L3] and in the case of helicoidal solutions in [MTL]. Moreover, the existence of global regular solutions which are close to the two-dimensional solutions was proved in [RZ, NZ, Z6, Z7]. So far the existence of regular axially symmetric solutions (see Definition 1.1) is still an open problem. However, the existence of regular axially symmetric solutions with vanishing swirl was proved long time ago in [L2, UY] the case with nonvanishing swirl is still open. Moreover, the existence of solutions which remain close to solutions from [L2, UY] was shown in [Z1, Z2, Z3, Z8]. In this paper and in [Z4] the existence of global regular axially symmetric solutions with nonvanishing swirl is proved in a periodic cylinder and with the slip boundary conditions on the lateral part of its boundary. The aim of this paper is to establish an a priori estimate for regular solutions in a neighbourhood of the axis of symmetry. In [Z4] a similar estimate is proved in a neighbourhood located at a positive distance from the axis of symmetry. Then in [Z4] by an appropriate partition of unity the estimate is derived in a whole cylinder. Finally in [Z4] by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem the existence of regular global axially symmetric solutions is established in a whole cylinder.

In this paper we consider the axially symmetric solutions (see Definition 1.1) to the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad & v_t + v \cdot \nabla v - \nu \Delta v + \nabla p = 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T = \Omega \times (0, T), \\
 & \operatorname{div} v = 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 & v \cdot \bar{n} = 0 && \text{on } S^T, \\
 & \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{D}(v) \cdot \bar{\tau}_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, && \text{on } S_1^T = S_1 \times (0, T), \\
 & \text{periodic boundary conditions} && \text{on } S_2^T = S_2 \times (0, T), \\
 & v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is an axially symmetric cylinder with boundary $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is the Cartesian system of coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that x_3 -axis is the axis of the cylinder Ω . By S_1 we denote the part of the boundary of the cylinder parallel to the x_3 -axis and S_2 is perpendicular to it. Next, $v = v(x, t) = (v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t), v_3(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity of the considered fluid, $p = p(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ the pressure, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity coefficient, $\mathbb{D}(v) = \nabla v + \nabla v^T$ is the double symmetric part of ∇v , $\bar{n}$ is the unit outward normal vector to S_1 and $\bar{\tau}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, is a tangent one. To examine axially symmetric solutions to (1.1) we introduce the cylindrical coordinates r, φ, z by the relations $x_1 = r \cos \varphi$, $x_2 = r \sin \varphi$, $x_3 = z$. Moreover, we introduce the vectors

$$\bar{e}_r = (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi, 0), \quad \bar{e}_\varphi = (-\sin \varphi, \cos \varphi, 0), \quad \bar{e}_z = (0, 0, 1)$$

connected with the cylindrical coordinates.

Then, the cylindrical coordinates of v are defined by the relations

$$v_r = v \cdot \bar{e}_r, \quad v_\varphi = v \cdot \bar{e}_\varphi, \quad v_z = v \cdot \bar{e}_z,$$

where the dot denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, by $u = rv_\varphi$ we denote a swirl.

To describe the domain Ω in greater details we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : r < R, |z| < a\}, \\ S_1 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : r = R, |z| < a\}, \\ S_2 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3, r < R, z \in \{-a, a\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where R and a are given positive numbers.

Definition 1.1. By the axially symmetric solution we mean such solutions to problem (1.1) that

$$(1.2) \quad v_{r,\varphi} = v_{\varphi,\varphi} = v_{z,\varphi} = p_{,\varphi} = 0.$$

In the cylindrical coordinates equations (1.1) for the axially symmetric solutions can be expressed in the form (see [LL, Ko])

$$(1.3) \quad v_{r,t} + v \cdot \nabla v_r - \frac{v_\varphi^2}{r} - \nu \Delta v_r + \nu \frac{v_r}{r^2} = -p_{,r},$$

$$(1.4) \quad v_{\varphi,t} + v \cdot \nabla v_\varphi + \frac{v_r}{r} v_\varphi - \nu \Delta v_\varphi + \nu \frac{v_\varphi}{r^2} = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad v_{z,t} + v \cdot \nabla v_z - \nu \Delta v_z = -p_{,z},$$

$$(1.6) \quad v_{r,r} + v_{z,z} = -\frac{v_r}{r},$$

where $v \cdot \nabla = v_r \partial_r + v_z \partial_z$, $\Delta u = \frac{1}{r}(ru_{,r})_{,r} + u_{,zz}$.

Let us introduce the φ -component of vorticity by

$$(1.7) \quad \chi = v_{r,z} - v_{z,r}.$$

Then χ is a solution to the problem (see [Z1])

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_t + v \cdot \nabla \chi - \frac{v_r}{r} \chi - \nu \left[\left(r \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \right)_{,r} \right)_{,r} + \chi_{,zz} + 2 \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \right)_{,r} \right] &= \frac{2v_\varphi v_{\varphi,z}}{r} \\ \chi|_{t=0} &= \chi_0 \\ \chi|_{S_1} &= 0, \quad \chi|_{S_2} - \text{periodic boundary conditon.} \end{aligned}$$

The existence of global regular axially symmetric solutions with vanishing swirl was proved by Ladyzhenskaya and Ukhovski-Yudovich, independently, long time ago (see [L2, UY]). Special cases as axially symmetric domains without the axis of symmetry or the case with sufficiently small swirl near the axis of symmetry at time $t = 0$ were treated in [Z2, Z3], respectively. Moreover, global existence of large regular solutions with sufficiently small $v_\varphi, v_{r,\varphi}, v_{\varphi,\varphi}, v_{z,\varphi}$ at time $t = 0$ were proved in [Z1, Z8] in cylindrical and axially symmetric domains, respectively. We have to add that the slip boundary conditions were necessary.

Although a global L_∞ -estimate for swirl in the case of axially symmetric solutions was proved in [CL] (but under the technical assumption that u vanishes at infinity and on the axis of symmetry) it was still not clear how to increase regularity of such weak solutions. Having the existence of weak solutions to problem (1.1) such that $v \in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ (see the definition of this space in Section 2) we obtain that $v \cdot \nabla v \in L_{5/4}(\Omega^T)$. Then by [S, ZZ] we obtain that solutions to (1.1) are such that $v \in W_{5/4}^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_{5/4}(\Omega^T)$. Then $v \in L_{5/2}(\Omega^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_{5/3}(\Omega^T)$, so $v \cdot \nabla v \in L_1(\Omega^T)$ and the technique from [S, ZZ] can not be again applied.

Passing to the cylindrical coordinates in estimates for the axially symmetric solution we obtain norms of weighted spaces (jacobian of transformation is r) so all imbeddings and interpolations are the same as in 3d case (see [Z3]).

Examining regularity of the axially symmetric solutions in a neighborhood Ω_* located at a positive distance from the axis of symmetry we showed in [Z2] that $v' = (v_r, v_z) \in V_2^1(\Omega_*^T)$ (see Definition 2.10 in Section 2). Then by imbedding we have

$$(1.9) \quad \|v'\|_{L_{10}(\Omega_*^T)} + \|\nabla v'\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega_*^T)} \leq c \|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega_*^T)}$$

(see Lemma 2.11 in Section 2).

Then from (1.9) we have that $v' \cdot \nabla v \in L_2(\Omega_*^T)$ because $v' \in L_{10}(\Omega_*^T)$, $\nabla v \in L_2(\Omega_*^T)$, so by [S, ZZ] we obtain the existence of regular solutions such that $v \in W_{5/3}^{2,1}(\Omega_*^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_{5/3}(\Omega_*^T)$. Hence $\nabla v \in L_{5/2}(\Omega_*^T)$. Then $v' \cdot \nabla v \in L_2(\Omega_*^T)$, so [S, ZZ] implies that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega_*^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega_*^T)$.

In this paper we prove (1.9) in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry (see Lemma 6.4). Combining the estimates near the axis and far from the axis we obtain (1.9) in the whole domain Ω .

Then in [Z4] we prove the existence of regular solutions to problem (1.1) such that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega^T)$.

To formulate the main results of this paper we need the following assumptions

Assumptions

1. Assume that there exist positive finite constants d_1 and d_2 such that

$$\|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq d_1,$$

and

$$\|u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \equiv d_2,$$

where $u = rv_\varphi$, $u_0 = u|_{t=0}$.

2. Assume that $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
3. Assume that $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$ is a cutoff smooth function such that $\zeta_1(r) = 1$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta_1(r) = 0$ for $2r_0 \leq r < R$.

Assume that $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta_1$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta_1^2$ and

$$\left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} < \infty, \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

4. Assume that r_0 is so small that

$$(1.10) \quad \|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_{\zeta_1}^T)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{4}} \nu,$$

where $\Omega_{\zeta_1} = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_1$ (see assumptions of Lemma 5.3).

Now we describe restriction (1.10). We explain that it is not a smallness condition but restriction on r_0 only. In view of Assumption 2 and Lemma 2.7 we have that $u \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\Omega^T)$, where α is not larger than $1/2$. But Assumption 1 and Lemma 2.3 imply

$$\left\| \frac{u}{r^2} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} \leq cd_1.$$

Hence u vanishes on the axis of symmetry.

Therefore, since $u \in C(0, T; C^\alpha(\Omega))$ it follows that there exists r_0 so small that (1.10) can be satisfied.

Theorem A. (see Lemmas 5.3, 5.5) *Let the Assumptions 1–4 hold. Then for solutions to problem (1.1) the following a priori estimate is satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.11) \quad & \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r} \right\|_{L_4(\Omega^t)}^4 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^t)}^2 + \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 \\
& + \left\| \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2 \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{r_0}, d_1, d_2, \nu \right) \left[1 \right. \\
& \left. + \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right] \equiv A_0^2, \quad t \leq T,
\end{aligned}$$

where φ is an increasing positive function and $\tilde{v}_r = v_r \zeta$ and ζ is introduced in Assumption 3.

Theorem B. (see Lemma 6.4) *Let the assumptions of Theorem A be satisfied. Then (see Definition 2.9)*

$$(1.12) \quad \|\tilde{v}'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^t)} \leq \varphi(A_0), \quad t \leq T,$$

where $v' = (v_r, v_z)$ and φ is also an increasing positive function.

To prove Theorem B we need Assumption 4. The assumption holds in view of Lemma 3.3. However, Lemma 3.3 is proved under the existence of local solution to (1.1) such that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{T*})$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega^{T*})$ with T_* sufficiently small, the norm $\|u\|_{C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}}$ does not depend on the local solution. This is an important factor letting (1.12) for any T . In [Z4] there is shown how the local solution can be extended step by step without its norm increasing. This way guarantees the existence of global regular solutions.

There are many results concerning the sufficient conditions of regularity of axially symmetric solutions. In [CL] such condition is $\int_0^T dt \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^\gamma dr d\varphi dz \right)^{\alpha/\gamma} < \infty$, where $1/\alpha + 1/\gamma \leq 1/2$, $2 < \gamma < +\infty$, $2 < \alpha \leq +\infty$. In [SZ] the following condition is assumed

$$\text{esssup}_{-1 \leq t \leq 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r} |v(x, t)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Finally in [SS] there are considered the following conditions

$$\text{esssup}_{Q(z_0, R)} r |\bar{v}(x, t)| < \infty$$

and

$$\text{esssup}_{Q(z_0, R)} \sqrt{t_0 - t} |\bar{v}(x, t)| < \infty$$

where $z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$, $Q(z_0, T) = B(x_0, R) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0)$, $B(x_0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| < R\}$, $\bar{v}(x, t) = v_r \bar{e}_r + v_z \bar{e}_z$.

Now we outline the proofs of Theorems A and B. We have to emphasize that estimates (1.11) and (1.12) are a priori type estimates.

First we describe a proof of estimate (1.12). Lemma 2.1 yields the energy type estimate for weak solutions to (1.1). Next Lemma 2.2 yields the existence of local solution to problem (1.1) such that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{T_*})$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega^{T_*})$, where T_* is described by the assumptions of the lemma. Since we examine problem (1.1) in a bounded cylinder the L_∞ bound for u formulated in Lemma 2.5 is proved in Lemma 2.1 in [Z5].

Applying the DeGiorgi method developed by Ladyzhenskaya, Solonnikov, Uratseva (see [LSU, Ch. 2]) and assuming that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ we show that $u \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\bar{\Omega}^T)$, where $\alpha = 1/2$ (see Lemmas 2.7, 3.1 and [Z5]).

Having the Hölder continuity of u Lemma 2.3 yields that u vanishes on the axis of symmetry. The two properties are crucial to satisfy (1.10) for sufficiently small r_0 . Then in a series of lemmas (see below) estimate (1.11) is proved for $t \leq T_*$. Then elliptic problem (4.1) implies (1.12). The estimate gives regularity near the axis of symmetry because of properties of the cut-off function $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$. From the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [Z4] we have that

$$(1.13) \quad \tilde{v}' \in V_2^1(\Omega^{T_*}),$$

where $\tilde{v}' = v' \zeta_2(r)$ and $\zeta_2(r) = 0$ for $r \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$ and $\zeta_2(r) = 1$ for $r \geq \frac{3}{2}r_0$.

Assuming that $\{\zeta_1(r), \zeta_2(r)\}$ compose a partition of unity in Ω imbeddings (1.12) and (1.13) imply that $v' \in V_2^1(\Omega^{T_*})$. This property yields that $v'(T_*) \in H^1(\Omega)$. To apply Lemma 2.2 for interval $[T_*, 2T_*]$ we need also that $v_\varphi(T_*) \in H^1(\Omega)$, which is proved in Lemma 4.1 in [Z4].

Moreover, we show in [Z4] that there exists a constant α_0 and a corresponding to it $T_* > 0$ such that if $\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_0$ then $\|v(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_0$. This property implies that the local solution can be extended step by step.

Now we present shortly the steps of the proof of estimate (1.11). First we obtain (see Lemma 4.2)

$$(1.14) \quad \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right) \right\|_{,r}^2 + 6 \left\| \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right) \right\|_{,r}^2 \leq \left\| \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right) \right\|_{,r}^2 + \varphi(\text{norms of data}),$$

where φ is an increasing positive function.

Next Lemma 5.1 yields

$$(1.15) \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^t)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\Omega^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt + \varphi \text{ (norms of data)}.$$

Finally, Lemma 5.2 implies the inequality

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega^t} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 dxdt + \frac{1}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega^t} \left| \frac{v_r}{r} \right| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt + \varphi \text{ (norms of data)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.14)–(1.16) and under the assumption (see (5.10))

$$(1.17) \quad \|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_{\zeta_1}^t)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{4}} \nu$$

we obtain by Lemmas 5.3, 5.5 and Conclusion 5.6 the estimate (1.11).

The restriction (1.17) is satisfied in view of the Hölder continuity of u and the property that u vanishes on the axis of symmetry. Since $u \in C^{1/2,1/4}$ we can calculate precisely the number r_0 appearing in the definition of function $\zeta_1(r)$. This implies that the r.h.s. of (1.11) depends on r_0 .

2. Auxiliary results

By c we denote a generic constant which changes its value from line to line. A constant c_k with index k is defined by the first formula, where it appears. By φ we denote the generic functions which changes its form from formula to formula and is always a positive and an increasing function. By $c(\sigma)$ we denote a generic constant which increases with σ .

Let us introduce the space

$$V_2^0(\Omega^T) = \{u : \|u\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}^2 = \|u\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $v_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$. Then there exists a weak solution to problem (1.1) such that $v \in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} \leq c_0 \|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \equiv d_1.$$

From properties of $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ we have (see [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 3])

$$(2.2) \quad \|v\|_{L_q(0,T;L_p(\Omega))} \leq c_2 \|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)},$$

where

$$\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \geq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.2. (see Theorem 3.1 in [Z4]). Assume that $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then for T so small that

$$c_* T^{1/2} \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq 1$$

there exists a local solution to problem (1.1) such that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega^T)$ and there exists a constant c_0 independent of v and p such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|v\|_{W_s^{2,1}(\Omega^T)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} \leq c_0 \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $v_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$. Then the weak axially symmetric solutions to problem (1.1) satisfy the estimate

$$(2.4) \quad \|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} + \left\| \frac{v_r}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{v_\varphi}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 \leq c_0^2 \|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \equiv d_1^2$$

The result is proved in Lemma 2.4 in [Z4].

Let us introduce the quantity (swirl)

$$(2.5) \quad u = r v_\varphi.$$

We see that u is a solution to the problem

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t + v \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta u + 2\nu \frac{u_{,r}}{r} &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_{,r} &= \frac{2}{r} u & \text{on } S_1^T, \\ \text{periodic boundary conditions} & & \text{on } S_2^T, \\ \operatorname{div} v &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary condition (2.6)₃ is derived in [Z1, Ch. 4, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.4. (see Lemma 2.1 in [Z5]) Let u be a solution to (2.6). Let $u_0 \in L_\infty(\Omega)$. Then there exists c independent of u such that

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)} \leq c \|u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \equiv d_2.$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we derive the estimate

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| \frac{u}{r^2} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)} \leq d_1.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let the assumptions of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 hold. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \|v_\varphi\|_{L_4(\Omega^T)}^4 \leq \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)}^2 \left\| \frac{v_\varphi}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}^2 \leq d_1^2 d_2^2.$$

In view of (2.7) we can prove

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\Omega_\zeta)$, where $\Omega_\zeta = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta$, $\zeta = \zeta(r)$ is smooth function such that $\zeta(r) = 1$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2r_0$, $2r_0 < R$. Then any solution to (2.6) satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad u \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\Omega_\zeta^T)$$

where α equals $1/2$ (see [Z5]).

We recall from [Z1, Ch. 2] the Hardy inequality

Lemma 2.7. Assume that $f \in L_{p, -\mu}(\Omega)$ and $f_{,r} \in L_{p, 1-\mu}(\Omega)$, where $L_{p, \nu}(\Omega) = \{u : \int_\Omega |u|^p r^{\nu} dx < \infty\}$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(2.11) \quad \|f\|_{L_{p, -\mu}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\left| \mu - \frac{2}{p} \right|} \|f_{,r}\|_{L_{p, 1-\mu}(\Omega)},$$

where $\mu \neq \frac{2}{p}$.

Remark 2.8. (see [Z10]) If $\mu > \frac{2}{p}$ then $f \notin L_{p, -\mu}(\Omega)$ because $f(0) = f|_{r=0} \neq 0$. In this case inequality (2.11) must be replaced by

$$(2.12) \quad \|f - f(0)\|_{L_{p, -\mu}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\left| \mu - \frac{2}{p} \right|} \|f_{,r}\|_{L_{p, 1-\mu}(\Omega)}.$$

Definition 2.9. We need also the space

$$(2.13) \quad V_2^k(\Omega^T) = \{u : \|u\|_{V_2^k(\Omega^T)} = \|u\|_{L_\infty(0, T; H^k(\Omega))}^2 + \nu \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(0, T; H^k(\Omega))}^2 < \infty\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

For $k = 0$ we have the space $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$.

Moreover

$$(2.14) \quad H^k(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_\Omega |D_x^\alpha u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

$k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In [Z4] we prove

Lemma 2.10. Let $\zeta' = \zeta_2(r)$ be a smooth positive function such that $\zeta'(r) = 0$ for $r \leq r_0/2$ and $\zeta'(r) = 1$ for $r \geq r_0$. Let $\Omega_{\zeta'} = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta'$ and $\Omega_{\bar{\zeta}'} = \Omega \cap \{x \in \text{supp } \zeta' : \zeta'(r) = 1\}$. Assume that $v_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$ with $c_0 \|v_0\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = d_1$. Assume also that $v_\varphi(0) \in L_{49/18}(\Omega_{\zeta'})$, $\chi(0) \in L_2(\Omega_{\zeta'})$. Then

$$(2.15) \quad \|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega_{\bar{\zeta}'})} \leq c(1/r_0, d_1)[d_1 + \|v_\varphi(0)\|_{L_{49/18}(\Omega_{\zeta'})} + \|\chi(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta'})}],$$

where $v' = (v_r, v_z)$.

Repeating the considerations from [BIN, Ch. 2, Sect. 2.16] we have

Lemma 2.11. Let $F(x) = \int_0^x f(y)dy$, $\beta > \frac{1}{p}$, $\|x^{-\beta+1}f(x)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon)} < \infty$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < \varepsilon < x\}$. Let $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in (0, \varepsilon]$. Then the following inequality holds

$$(2.16) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |x^{-\beta} \int_0^x f(y)dy|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{\beta - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |x^{-\beta+1} f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Making the change of variable and applying the generalized Minkowski inequality (see [BIN, Ch. 2, Sect. 2.10]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} \left| x^{-\beta} \int_0^x f(y)dy \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} \left| x^{-\beta+1} \int_0^1 f(xt)dt \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |x^{-\beta+1} f(xt)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dt \\ & = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon t}} |y^{-\beta+1} f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} t^{\beta-1-1/p} dt \\ & = \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1-1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |y^{-\beta+1} f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} dt \equiv I, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $f(y) = 0$ for $y \leq \varepsilon$. Integrating with respect to t yields (2.16). This concludes the proof.

Taking $u(x) = \int_0^x f(y)dy$ and raising to the power p the both sides of (2.16) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta} u(r)|^p dr \leq \frac{1}{(\beta - 1/p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta+1} u_{,r}|^p dr,$$

where $u_{,r} = 0$ for $r \leq \varepsilon$.

Continuing,

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta-1/p} u(r)|^p r dr \leq \frac{1}{(\beta-1/p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta-1/p+1} u_{,r}|^p r dr.$$

Assuming that $u = u(r, z)$ and integrating (2.17) with respect to z yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta-1/p} u(r, z)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{(\beta-1/p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\beta-1/p+1} u_{,r}(r, z)|^p dx,$$

where $dx = r dr dz$. Introducing $\alpha = \beta + 1/p$ we obtain the following Hardy inequality

$$(2.18) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\alpha} u(r, z)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 2/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon} |r^{-\alpha+1} u_{,r}(r, z)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\alpha > \frac{2}{p}$, $u_{,r}(r, z) = 0$ for $r \leq \varepsilon$.

Using the cylindrical coordinates to examine problem (1.1) we derive equations with coefficients which are singular on the axis of symmetry (see (3.5), (3.6), (4.1), (4.11), (5.2)). To cancel the singularities we consider problem (1.1) in $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega: 0 < \varepsilon < r < R\}$. Therefore, we have to add some boundary conditions on the surface $r = \varepsilon$. We assume

$$(2.19) \quad v \cdot \bar{n}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(v, p) \cdot \bar{\tau}_\alpha|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where $\bar{n}$ is the unit outward normal vector to the surface $r = \varepsilon$ so it is directed to the axis of symmetry.

In view of [Z1, Ch. 4, Lemma 2.4] conditions (2.19) imply

$$(2.20) \quad v_r|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad v_{z,r}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \left(v_{\varphi,r} + \frac{1}{r} v_\varphi \right) \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0,$$

where the last equation assumes the form

$$(2.21) \quad u_{,r}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Moreover, (2.20) implies

$$(2.22) \quad \chi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Considering problem (1.1) in Ω_ε we should work with approximate functions v_ε , u_ε , χ_ε but we omit the index ε for simplicity.

Repeating the proof of Lemma 2.3 in the case Ω_ε we have

Lemma 2.12. *Let $v_0 \in L_2(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(2.23) \quad \|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} + \left\| \frac{v_r}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} + \left\| \frac{v_\varphi}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} \leq d_1.$$

3. Existence in $B_2(Q_T, M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$. Idea of the proof of Lemma 2.6

The Hölder continuity of u in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry is crucial in the proof of main estimate (5.28) (see also restriction (5.10) in Lemma 5.3).

In this Section we follow the ideas, definitions and results from [LSU, Ch. 2, Sects. 6, 7] which need some changes described in [Z5]. Since the result is very important we describe very roughly how the continuity follows. In this Section we base on the existence of weak solutions to problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1. We say that $u \in V_2^0(Q_T)$ such that $\text{esssup}_{Q_T} |u| \leq M$ belongs to $B_2(Q_T \cap Q(\varrho, \tau), M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$ if the function $w(x, t) = \pm u(x, t)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$(3.1) \quad \max_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \tau} \|w^{(k)}(x, t)\|_{L_2(B_{\varrho - \sigma_1 \varrho})}^2 \leq \|w^{(k)}(x, t_0)\|_{L_2(B_{\varrho})}^2 + \gamma[(\sigma_1 \varrho)^{-2} \|w^{(k)}\|_{L_2(Q(\varrho, \tau))}^2 + \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k, \varrho, \tau)]$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|w^{(k)}\|_{V_2^0(Q(\varrho - \sigma_1 \varrho, \tau - \sigma_2 \tau))}^2 \leq \gamma\{[(\sigma_1 \varrho)^{-2} + (\sigma_2 \tau)^{-1}] \|w^{(k)}\|_{L_2(Q(\varrho, \tau))}^2 + \mu^{\frac{2}{r}(1+\varkappa)}(k, \varrho, \tau)\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(k)}(x, t) &= \max\{w(x, t) - k; 0\}, \\ Q(\varrho, \tau) &= B_{\varrho}(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + \tau) \\ &= \{(x, t) \in Q_T : |x - x_0| < \varrho, t_0 < t < t_0 + \tau\}, \end{aligned}$$

$Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, ϱ, τ are arbitrary positive numbers, σ_1, σ_2 – arbitrary numbers from $(0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(k, \varrho, \tau) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \tau} \text{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}} A_{k, \varrho}(t) dt, \\ A_{k, \varrho}(t) &= \{x \in B_{\varrho}(x_0) : w(x, t) > k\}. \end{aligned}$$

The numbers $M, \gamma, \delta, \varkappa$ are arbitrary positive and numbers r, q satisfy the relation

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{2}{r} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Finally, k is a positive number satisfying the condition

$$(3.4) \quad \text{esssup}_{Q(\varrho, \tau)} w(x, t) - k \leq \delta.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that u satisfies (2.6) and $|u| \leq M$. Assume that $\text{div } v = 0$, $v \in L_{r'}(0, T; L_{q'}(\Omega))$, $\frac{3}{q'} + \frac{2}{r'} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\varkappa$, $\varkappa > 0$, u is axially symmetric and periodic with respect to x_3 .*

Then $u^{(k)} \in B_2(Q_T \cap Q(\varrho, \tau), M, \gamma, r, \delta, \varkappa)$, where M, k, δ satisfy (3.4) in the form

$$M - k \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \varkappa = \frac{1}{6}, \quad r = q = \frac{10}{3}.$$

Proof. (see the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [Z5]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let u be a solution to (2.6).*

Let $\text{div } v' = 0$, $v' \in L_{r'}(0, T; L_{q'}(B_\varrho))$, $\frac{2}{r'} + \frac{3}{q'} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\varkappa$. Then $u \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(Q_T \cap Q(\varrho, \tau))$, where $\alpha = \frac{3\varkappa}{2}$ and $\|u\|_{C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}} \leq c \max\{2M, 16\varrho_0^{\frac{3\varkappa}{2}}\}$ with c equal to some number.

For $v \in W_2^{2,1}(B_\varrho^T)$ we have that $r' = q' = 10$ so $\varkappa = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

The Lemma is proved in Theorem 5.4 in [Z5].

4. A priori estimates for v_r and v_z in a neighborhood of the x_3 -axis

In this section we examine the elliptic problem for $v_r = v_r(r, z, t)$, $v_z = v_z(r, z, t)$,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{r,z} - v_{z,r} &= \chi && \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_{r,r} + v_{z,z} + \frac{v_r}{r} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_r|_{S_1} &= 0, && \text{periodicity with respect to } z. \end{aligned}$$

By localizing the problem to a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry we will be able to consider the result in $\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{z : |z| < a\}$ with periodicity with respect to z .

Expressing (4.1)₂ in the form

$$(4.2) \quad (rv_r)_{,r} + (rv_z)_{,z} = 0$$

we see that there exists a stream function ψ such that

$$(4.3) \quad v_r = \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r}, \quad v_z = -\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}.$$

Using (4.3) in (4.1)₁ we obtain the equation

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \right)_{,z} + \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \right)_{,r} = \chi.$$

To estimate solutions of (4.4) near the axis of symmetry we introduce a cut-off smooth function $\zeta_1 = \zeta_1(r)$ such that $\zeta_1(r) = 1$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta_1(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2r_0$, where $2r_0 < R$.

Therefore, we introduce the notation

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \psi \zeta_1^2, \quad \tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta_1^2.$$

In virtue of (4.5) we express (4.4) in the form

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r} \right)_{,z} + \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r} \right)_{,r} = \tilde{\chi} + \frac{\psi}{r} (\zeta_1^2)_{,r} + \left(\frac{\psi (\zeta_1^2)_{,r}}{r} \right)_{,r} \equiv \tilde{\chi}_*.$$

For (4.6) we have the following boundary conditions

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\psi} \text{ vanishes with all derivatives for } r \geq 2r_0, \\ &\tilde{\psi} \text{ is periodic with respect to } z \in [-a, a], \text{ so} \\ &\tilde{\psi}(r, (2k+1)a, t) = \tilde{\psi}(r, (2k-1)a, t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

From [Z1–Z3] we know that a natural energy type estimate for solutions to (1.8) is an estimate for $\left\| \frac{\chi}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}$, because in this case the nonlinearity of the second and the third terms on the l.h.s. of (1.8) is eliminated. Hence we will be looking for estimates for $\tilde{\psi}$ in the case where the r.h.s. of (4.6) divided by r belongs to $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$. This needs a very fast vanishing of $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_*$ in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry. To guarantee such vanishing we prove the existence of solutions to problem (4.6), (4.7) with such property. For this purpose we introduce a cylinder of radius $r = \varepsilon < r_0$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_*|_{r \leq \varepsilon} = \chi$ and we assume that $\chi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$. We take the idea from [L2]. The assumption is motivated by estimations presented in Section 5, where we have to work with functions $\frac{\chi}{r}$, $\frac{v_\varphi}{r}$. Then considering the problems for χ and v_φ we have to add additional artificial boundary conditions $\chi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$ and $v_\varphi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$ (see problems (5.2) and (5.7)). In this way we get approximate functions χ_ε and $v_{\varphi\varepsilon}$ but we shall omit the index ε for simplicity. Instead of the above approach we can treat the estimates in Section 4, 5, 6 as a priori estimates performed on smooth functions vanishing sufficiently fast near the axis of symmetry. However, we shall follow the first approach. To simplify problem (4.6), (4.7) we introduce the quantities η and ϑ by the relations

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \eta r^2, \quad \tilde{\chi}_* = \vartheta r,$$

where the restriction $\chi|_{r \leq \varepsilon}$ implies that $\vartheta|_{r \leq \varepsilon} = 0$.
Using (4.8) in (4.6) yields

$$(4.9) \quad \eta_{,zz} + \eta_{,rr} + \frac{3\eta_{,r}}{r} = \vartheta$$

By the definition of Δ in the cylindrical coordinates we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \Delta\eta + \frac{2\eta_{,r}}{r} = \vartheta.$$

However, (4.10) contains a coefficient singular on the axis of symmetry we assume to consider (4.10) in domain Ω , because the proof of Lemma 4.1 basis on weak formulation of (4.10) which does not contain any singular term on the axis of symmetry.

Then we examine the following boundary value problem

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta\eta + \frac{2\eta_{,r}}{r} &= \vartheta \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \eta|_{r=2r_0} &= 0, \quad \text{periodic boundary conditions} \\ \text{for } z &\in \{(2k-1)a, (2k+1)a\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta|_{r \leq \varepsilon} = 0$.

We restrict our considerations to the case $k = 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\vartheta, \vartheta_{,r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $\vartheta_{,\varphi} = 0$, $\vartheta|_{r=2r_0} = 0$, $\eta_{,r}|_{r=2r_0} = 0$. Then there exists a solution to problem (4.10), (4.11) such that $\eta_{,\varphi} = 0$, $\eta \in H^1(\Omega)$, $\eta_{,r} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\nabla\eta_{,z} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $\eta_{,zr} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, where $\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{u}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ and the estimate*

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (|\eta|^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} \right) dx + \int_{-a}^a (\eta^2 + \eta_{,z}^2 + \eta_{,z}^2)|_{r=0} dz \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\vartheta^2 + \vartheta_{,r}^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. Multiplying (4.11)₁ by η , integrating over Ω and using boundary conditions (4.11)_{2,3} we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{,r}^2 + \eta_{,z}^2) dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,r} \eta dr dz = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta \eta dx.$$

The second term on the l.h.s. equals

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\eta^2)_{,r} dr dz = \int_{-a}^a \eta^2|_{r=0} dz.$$

Hence, by the Poincare inequality we obtain from (4.13) the estimate

$$(4.14) \quad \|\eta\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \left(\int_{-a}^a \eta^2|_{r=0} dz \right)^{1/2} \leq c_1 \|\vartheta\|_{L_2(\Omega)},$$

where c_1 is an increasing function of r_0 .

We prove existence of solutions to problem (4.11) by the Galerkin method.

Looking for weak solutions in the form

$$\eta^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{im}(t) \varphi_i(x),$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a basis in $H^1(\Omega)$ and a_{im} , $i = 1, \dots, m$, satisfy

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a_{im}(t) \nabla' \varphi_i \nabla' \varphi_j dx - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a_{im}(t) \varphi_{i,r} \varphi_j dr dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \vartheta \cdot \varphi_j dx, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla' = (\partial_r, \partial_z)$. In view of (4.14) operator (4.11) is invertible so there exist solutions to (4.15) (see [LU, Ch. 3, Sect. 16]) and then by the Galerkin method we have the existence of solutions to problem (4.11) in $H^1(\Omega)$.

We increase regularity of the weak solution by getting estimates for higher derivatives. These estimates can be derived precisely by applying differences and passing to the appropriate limits. For simplicity we shall only restrict our considerations to show appropriate a priori estimates for the weak solutions.

Differentiating (4.11)₁ with respect r , multiplying the result by $\eta_{,r}$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta \eta_{,r} \eta_{,r} dx - 3 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,rr} \eta_{,r}}{r} dx = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r} \eta_{,r} dx,$$

where we used that $(\Delta \eta)_{,r} = \Delta \eta_{,r} - \frac{\eta_{,r}}{r^2}$.

Integrating by parts and using that $\eta_{,r}|_{r=2r_0} = 0$ we obtain

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,r}|^2 dx + 3 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{,r}^2)_{,r} dr dz = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta (\eta_{,rr} r + \eta_{,r}) dr dz.$$

The last term on the l.h.s. of (4.16) equals $\int_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0} dz$ and the r.h.s. we estimate by

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \eta_{,rr}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} \right) dx + \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \vartheta^2 dx.$$

Setting $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon_2 = 5$, then (4.16) takes the form

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,r}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx + \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0} dz \leq \frac{6}{5} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta^2 dx.$$

Differentiating (4.10) with respect to z , multiplying by $\eta_{,z}$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta \eta_{,z} \eta_{,z} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,zr} \eta_{,z} dr dz = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,z} \eta_{,z} dx.$$

Integrating by parts and using the periodicity condition gives

$$(4.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,z}|^2 dx + 2 \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,z}^2|_{r=0} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \vartheta^2 dx.$$

Differentiating (4.10) with respect to z yields

$$(4.19) \quad \Delta \eta_{,z} + \frac{2\eta_{,zr}}{r} = \vartheta_{,z}.$$

Differentiating (4.19) with respect to r gives

$$(4.20) \quad \Delta \eta_{,zr} - \frac{3\eta_{,zr}}{r^2} + 2\frac{\eta_{,zrr}}{r} = \vartheta_{,zr}.$$

Multiplying (4.20) by $\eta_{,zr}$, integrating over Ω and using that $\eta_{,zr}|_{r=2r_0} = 0$ and (4.11)_{2,3} we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,zr}|^2 dx + 3 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,zrr} \eta_{,zr}}{r} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,zr} \eta_{,zr} dx = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r} \eta_{,zzr} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in view of the periodicity condition the integration by parts is performed in the r.h.s. of (4.21).

The last term on the l.h.s. of (4.21) equals

$$-2 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,zrr} \eta_{,zr} dr dz = - \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{,zr}^2)_{,r} dr dz = \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,zr}^2|_{r=0} dz.$$

Summarizing, we obtain from (4.21) the estimate

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,zr}|^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} dx + 2 \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,zr}^2|_{r=0} dz \leq \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r}^2 dx.$$

From (4.14), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.22) we obtain (4.12). This concludes the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$ be a smooth function such that $\zeta_1(r) = 1$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta_1(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2r_0$. Let $\tilde{v}_r = v_r \zeta_1^2$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta_1^2$. Let $\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right)_{,r} \in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, $v_z, v_{z,r} \in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$. Then for sufficiently smooth solutions to problem (1.1) the following inequality is valid*

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + 6 \left\| \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \\ & \leq \left\| \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + c(1/r_0) (\|v_z\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \\ & \quad + \|v_{z,r}\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality suggests fast decreasing of $\tilde{v}_r$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ approaching the axis of symmetry. This will be implied by the mentioned approximation and appropriate passing to the limit $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof. Using the definitions of $\tilde{\chi}_*$ by (4.6) and ϑ by (4.8) we obtain

$$\vartheta = \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} (\zeta_1^2)_{,r} + \left(\frac{\psi (\zeta_1^2)_{,r}}{r} \right)_{,r} \right) \equiv \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} + \vartheta_*.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{*,r}^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 + \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right)_{,r} \right) \right]_{,r}^2 dx \\
&\leq c \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \left(2 \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 + \psi \left(\frac{\zeta_{1,r}^2}{r} \right)_{,r} \right) \right]^2 dx \\
&\quad + c \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\left(\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} \right)_{,r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 + \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} \zeta_{1,rr}^2 \right. \\
(4.24) \quad &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \right)_{,rr} \zeta_{1,r}^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \right)_{,r} \zeta_{1,rr}^2 + \frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,rrr}^2 \right]^2 dx \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_{1,r}} \left(v_z^2 + \left(\frac{\psi}{r^2} \right)^2 + v_{z,r}^2 \right) dx \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $v_z = \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}$ and $\text{supp } \zeta_{1,r} = \{r : r_0 \leq r \leq 2r_0\}$.

We employed also the Hardy inequality $\int_{\Omega} \frac{\psi^2}{r^4} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\psi_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx = \int_{\Omega} v_z^2 dx$, where in view of (4.8) we have that $\psi_{,r}|_{r=0} = 0$ and $\psi|_{r=0} = 0$.

In view of (4.24) and the expression for ϑ we obtain from (4.22) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \eta_{,zr}|^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} dx \\
(4.25) \quad \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta = \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2}$ we have that $\eta_{,z} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_{,z}}{r^2} = \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}$. Then the second term on the l.h.s. of (4.25) takes the form

$$(4.26) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx.$$

The first term on the l.h.s. of (4.25) equals

$$(4.27) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx.$$

The term can not be estimated from below by (4.26) because the Hardy inequality (2.13) does not hold in this case. Therefore, (4.25) takes the form

$$(4.28) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx.$$

From (4.28) we obtain (4.23). This concludes the proof.

5. Estimate

Let us consider problem (1.8). Let $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$ be the cut-off function introduced in Section 4. Let

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta^2$$

Then we introduce an approximate solution $\tilde{\chi}$ to problem (1.8) as a solution to the problem

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\chi}_t + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\chi} - \frac{v_r}{r} \tilde{\chi} - \nu \left[\left(r \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r} \right)_{,r} + \tilde{\chi}_{,zz} + 2 \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r} \right] \\ &= v \cdot \nabla \zeta^2 \chi - \nu (\chi \zeta_{,r}^2)_{,r} - \nu r \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \right)_{,r} \zeta_{,r}^2 \\ & \quad - 2\nu \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \zeta_{,r}^2 \right) + 2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi \tilde{v}_{\varphi,z}}{r} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ & \tilde{\chi}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\chi}_0, \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{r=2r_0} = 0, \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{z=-a} = \tilde{\chi}|_{z=a} \end{aligned}$$

is periodic with respect to z , where $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < \varepsilon < r < R, |z| < a\}$. Assume that there exists a weak solution described by Lemma 2.3. Let $\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r} \in L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$. Let $\chi \in L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_r \times (0, T))$, where $\text{supp } \zeta_r = \{r : r_0 \leq r \leq 2r_0\}$. Then for sufficiently smooth solutions to problem (1.1) we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, t))}^2 \leq c(1/r_0) d_1^2 + c(1/r_0) d_1 \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_r \times (0, t))}^2 \\ & + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx dt, \quad t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where d_1 is introduced in (2.1) and $\varepsilon < r_0$.

Proof. Multiplying (5.2) by $\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}$ and integrating over Ω_ε we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + \nu \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right) \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \\
& = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[v \cdot \nabla \zeta^2 \chi \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} - \nu (\chi \zeta_{,r}^2)_{,r} \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} - \nu r \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \right)_{,r} \zeta_{,r}^2 \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\nu \left(\frac{\chi}{r} \zeta_{,r}^2 \right) \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} \right] dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi \tilde{v}_{\varphi,z}}{r} \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the particular terms in the r.h.s. of (5.4). The last term equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{(\tilde{v}_\varphi^2)_{,z}}{r^2} \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} dx = - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,z} dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,z}^2 dx \\
& + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the terms in the first integral on the r.h.s. of (5.4) we use properties of the cut-off function $\zeta = \zeta(r)$.

The first expression under the square bracketed is bounded by

$$c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_{,r}} |v_r| \chi^2 dx$$

The fourth term under the square bracket is estimated by

$$c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_{,r}} \chi^2 dx.$$

The second term under the square bracket equals to

$$-\nu \int_{\Omega} \chi_{,r} \zeta_{,r}^2 \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} dx - \nu \int_{\Omega} \chi \zeta_{,rr}^2 \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2} dx \equiv I_1.$$

Integrating by parts in the first term in I_1 it takes the form

$$-\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\chi^2)_{,r} \frac{(\zeta^2)_{,r} \zeta^2}{r^2} dx = \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \chi^2 \left(\frac{\zeta_{,r}^2 \zeta^2}{r^2} \right)_{,r} dx.$$

Hence

$$|I_1| \leq c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r} \chi^2 dx.$$

Similarly, the third term under the square bracket yields

$$-\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{\chi}{r} \right)^2 \right)_{,r} \zeta^2 \zeta^2_{,r} dx = \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\chi^2}{r^2} (\zeta^2_{,r} \zeta^2)_{,r} dx \equiv I_2,$$

so

$$|I_2| \leq c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r} \chi^2 dx.$$

Using the above estimates in (5.4) and assuming that $\varepsilon_1 = \nu$ implies

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\ & + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r} \chi^2 dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r} |v_r| \chi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.5) with respect to time and using Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, t))}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r} \right\|_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, t))}^4 \\ & + c(1/r_0) d_1^2 + c(1/r_0) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r} |v| \chi^2 dx dt + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of space $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$ from the beginning of Section 2.

The third integral on the r.h.s. of (5.6) is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & c(1/r_0) \|v\|_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))} \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))}^2 \\ & \leq c(1/r_0) d_1 \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.3 was again used. In view of above estimates we obtain from (5.6) inequality (5.3). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Let us consider (1.4). Multiplying (1.4) by $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$ and introducing the notation $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$ we assume an approximate $\tilde{v}_\varphi$ as a solution to the

problem

(5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{\varphi,t} + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}_{\varphi} + \frac{v_r}{r} \tilde{v}_{\varphi} - \nu \Delta \tilde{v}_{\varphi} + \nu \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}}{r^2} &= v \cdot \nabla \zeta v_{\varphi} - 2\nu \nabla v_{\varphi} \nabla \zeta \\ &\quad - \nu v_{\varphi} \Delta \zeta \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{v}_{\varphi}|_{t=0} &= \tilde{v}_{\varphi}(0), \quad \tilde{v}_{\varphi}|_{r=2r_0} = 0, \quad \tilde{v}_{\varphi}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \tilde{v}_{\varphi}|_{z=-a} = \tilde{v}_{\varphi}|_{z=a}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{v}_{\varphi}$ is periodic with respect to z

Lemma 5.2. Assume that $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < \varepsilon < r < R, |z| < a\}$, $\Omega_{\zeta,r} = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_{,r}$. Assume that v is a weak solution to problem (1.1) satisfying (2.4), $rv_{\varphi}(0) \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2(0)}{r} \in L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Assume that v is sufficiently regular. Then the following a priori inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} (5.8) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^t} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2}{r} \right|^2 dx dt + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^4} dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{v_r}{r} \right| \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^2} dx dt + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) d_2^2 (1 + d_2) d_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(1/r_0)$ is an increasing function.

Proof. Multiplying (5.7) by $\frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi} |\tilde{v}_{\varphi}|^2}{r^2}$ and integrating over $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \times (0, t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.9) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^t} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2}{r} \right|^2 dx dt + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^4} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^t} v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}_{\varphi} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi} |\tilde{v}_{\varphi}|^2}{r^2} dx dt \\ & \leq - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{v_r}{r} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^4}{r^2} dx dt - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v \cdot \nabla \zeta v_{\varphi} \tilde{v}_{\varphi} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} dx dt \\ & \quad - 2\nu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla v_{\varphi} \nabla \zeta \tilde{v}_{\varphi} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} dx dt - \nu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varphi} \Delta \zeta \tilde{v}_{\varphi} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varphi}^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the expressions on the l.h.s. of the above inequality follow from the following calculations. The first, the fourth and the fifth terms from the

l.h.s. of (5.7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_t \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi |\tilde{v}_\varphi|^2}{r^2} dx - \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \Delta \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi |\tilde{v}_\varphi|^2}{r^2} dx + \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} dx.$$

Integrating by parts in I_2 , using that $\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{r=2r_0} = 0$, $\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$ and that $\tilde{v}_\varphi$ is periodic with respect to z we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{v}_\varphi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^3}{r^2} \right) dx = 3\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \tilde{v}_\varphi|^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx - 2\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \tilde{v}_{\varphi,r} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^3}{r^2} dr dz \\ &= 3\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r} \right|^2 dx - \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{(\tilde{v}_\varphi^4)_{,r}}{r^2} dr dz \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\nabla \tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 dx - \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \right)_{,r} dr dz - \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} + \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} \nabla r \right|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{-a}^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz \\ &\quad - \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx = \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[\left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 + \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} + \partial_r \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right) \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} \right] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{-a}^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz - \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx = \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\nu}{4} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{-a}^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz + \frac{3}{4} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_r \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right) \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} dr dz \end{aligned}$$

The last term in I_2 equals

$$\frac{3}{8} \nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_r \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \right) dr dz = -\frac{3}{8} \nu \int_{-a}^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz$$

Hence

$$I_2 = \frac{3}{4}\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 dx - \frac{\nu}{4} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + \frac{\nu}{8} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz.$$

Therefore I takes the form

$$I = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{4}\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right|^2 dx + \frac{3}{4}\nu \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + \frac{\nu}{8} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} \Big|_{r=\varepsilon} dz.$$

The last integral in I vanishes. Integrating the result with respect to time implies the three integrals on the l.h.s. of (5.9).

Finally, the last term on the l.h.s. of (5.9) equals

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{v_r}{r} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} dx dt.$$

The second integral on the r.h.s. of (5.9) we estimate by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v|^2 \frac{|rv_\varphi|^3}{r^5} dx dt &\leq c(1/r_0) \|rv_\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,t))}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) d_2^3 d_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

The third term on the r.h.s. of (5.9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -2\nu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla v_\varphi v_\varphi |v_\varphi|^2 \cdot \nabla \zeta \zeta |\zeta|^2}{r^2} dx dt &= -\frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla(v_\varphi^4) \cdot \nabla \zeta |\zeta|^2}{r^2} dx dt \\ &= \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \zeta \zeta |\zeta|^2}{r^2} \right) dx dt \equiv I_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|I_1| \leq c(1/r_0) \|rv_\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,t))}^2 \int_{\Omega^t} v_\varphi^2 dx dt \leq c(1/r_0) d_2^2 d_1^2.$$

Similarly, the last but one term on the r.h.s. of (5.9) we estimate by $c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) d_2^2 d_1^2$.

Using the above estimates in (5.9) implies (5.8). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. Assume that $\frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi(0)}{r^{1/2}} \in L_4(\Omega)$, $\chi \in L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega^T)$. Let the assumptions of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 hold. Assume that

$$(5.10) \quad \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{4}}\nu,$$

Then the following a priori inequality holds

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t) &\equiv \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx dt + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx dt \leq \varphi(d_1, d_2) \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))}^2 \\ &\quad + \varphi(1/r_0, \nu, d_1, d_2) \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right), \\ t &\leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta^2$, $\tilde{v}_r = v_r \zeta^2$, $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$, $\zeta_{1,r} \neq 0$ for $r \in (r_0, 2r_0)$, $2r_0 < R$, $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < \varepsilon < r < R, |z| < a\}$ and φ is an increasing positive function. Moreover, $\Omega_\zeta = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta$.

Proof. From (4.28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \\ &\quad + c \int_{\Omega_\zeta} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$.

Integrating the above inequality with respect to time, using estimate (5.3) and Lemma 2.3 in the r.h.s. we obtain

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx dt + 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx dt \leq \frac{1}{\nu^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu} c(1/r_0) d_1 \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} c(1/r_0) d_1^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\nu} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (5.12) we employ (5.8)

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0,t)} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{v_r}{r} \right| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2} dxdt \\ + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0}, \nu \right) d_2^2 (1 + d_2) d_1^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Let us recall that $\tilde{v}_r = v_r \zeta^2$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta^2$, $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$ and $\Omega_\zeta = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta$. The first term on the r.h.s. of (5.13) can be expressed in the form

$$\frac{2}{\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{v_r \zeta^2}{r} \right| \frac{v_\varphi^2 \tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dxdt = \frac{2}{\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r^3} \right| r^2 v_\varphi^2 \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dxdt \\ \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dxdt \right].$$

Setting $\varepsilon = \nu/2$ and using the estimate in the r.h.s. of (5.13) yields

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt \leq \frac{4}{\nu^2} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \int_{\Omega^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dxdt \\ + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0}, \nu \right) d_2^2 (1 + d_2) d_1^2 + \frac{4}{\nu} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Employing (5.14) in the r.h.s. of (5.12) we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dxdt \leq \frac{4}{\nu^4} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dxdt \\ + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0}, \nu \right) d_2^2 (1 + d_2) d_1^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} c(1/r_0) d_1^2 \\ + c(1/r_0) d_1 \|\chi\|_{\frac{20}{7}(\Omega_{\zeta,r} \times (0,t))}^2 \\ + \frac{4}{\nu} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \equiv \frac{4}{\nu^4} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \left| \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r^3} \right|^2 dxdt + A_1^2,$$

where $c(1/r_0)$ is an increasing function.

In view of the Hardy inequality (2.18) for $p = 2$ inequality (5.15) takes the form

$$(5.16) \quad 6 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dxdt \leq \frac{4}{\nu^4} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dxdt + A_1^2.$$

Assuming that

$$(5.17) \quad 6 - \frac{4}{\nu^4} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}^4 \geq 1$$

we obtain from (5.16) the inequality

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dxdt \leq A_1^2.$$

Employing (5.18) in the r.h.s. of (5.14) yields

$$(5.19) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dxdt \leq \frac{2}{\nu^2} d_2^4 A_1^2 + c A_1^2$$

Exploiting (5.19) in (5.3) and (5.12) implies

$$(5.20) \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dxdt + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dxdt \\ \leq c(1/r_0, \nu, d_1, d_2) A_1^2.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 5.4. Condition (5.10) looks like a smallness condition, however it can be justified by the following explanations.

By Lemma 3.3 we have that $u = rv_\varphi \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\Omega^T)$, $\alpha = 1/2$. Then (2.10) implies that $u|_{r=0} = 0$.

Hence for a sufficiently small neighborhood of the x_3 -axis, so for sufficiently small r_0 , restriction (5.10) can be satisfied. Since $\alpha = 1/2$ the number r_0 must be small but can be calculated precisely. Then a bound in the a priori estimate, which we are looking for, becomes an increasing function of $1/r_0$.

The local existence is proved in interval $(0, T_*)$ and $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{T_*})$. Next by Theorem B, Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 6.1 we are able to obtain a priori estimate for $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$, $T > T_*$. Then $v(T_*) \in H^1(\Omega)$ and Lemma 2.2 yields existence in $W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (T_*, 2T_*))$.

Continuing, we have existence in $W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ for any $T > 0$. This implies that in each time interval $(kT_*, (k+1)T_*)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, restriction (5.10) is satisfied.

To derive any estimate from (5.11) we have to estimate $\|\chi\|_{\frac{20}{7}(\Omega \times \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, T))}$. For this purpose we need

Lemma 5.5. *Let*

$$A_0 = \varphi(1/r_0, \nu, d_1, d_2) \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right)$$

be finite, where $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta^2$ and the smooth cut-off function ζ is described in Lemma 5.3. Then there exists a positive constant c such that

$$(5.21) \quad \|\chi\|_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega_\varepsilon \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, T))} \leq c A_0.$$

Proof. From (5.11) we have

$$(5.22) \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, t))} \leq c_1 \left\| \frac{\chi}{r} \right\|_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega_\varepsilon \cap \text{supp } \zeta, r \times (0, t))} + A_0.$$

Let us introduce the sets

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} = \{(r, z) : 0 < \varepsilon < r \leq r_0 - \lambda, |z| < a\}$$

and connect with them a set of cut-off smooth functions such that

$$\zeta^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (r, z) \in \Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \\ 0 & \text{for } (r, z) \in \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)}. \end{cases}$$

To simplify notation we denote $\eta = \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}$. Then (5.22) can be expressed in the form

$$(5.23) \quad \|\eta\|_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0, t))} \leq c_1 \|\eta\|_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)} \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0, t))} + A_0.$$

From (5.23) we have

$$(5.24) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0, t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt \leq c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)} \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0, t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt + c_2 A_0^{10/3},$$

where $c_2 = 2^{\frac{10}{3}-1}$.

Adding

$$c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0, t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt$$

to both sides of (5.24) we obtain

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0,t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt \leq \frac{c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}}{1 + c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)} \times (0,t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt + \frac{c_2}{1 + c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}} A_0^{\frac{10}{3}}.$$

Introducing the notation

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)} \times (0,t)} |\eta|^{\frac{10}{3}} dx dt, \quad \mu = \frac{c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}}{1 + c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}} < 1,$$

$$K = \frac{c_2}{1 + c_2 c_1^{\frac{10}{3}}} A_0^{\frac{10}{3}}$$

we obtain from (5.25) the inequality

$$(5.26) \quad f(\lambda) \leq \mu f(\lambda/2) + K$$

Hence we derive the estimate

$$(5.27) \quad f(\lambda) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu^j K = \frac{1}{1 - \mu} K$$

Therefore, Lemma 5.5 is proved.

Conclusion 5.6. In view of (5.21) inequality (5.11) implies the a priori estimate

$$(5.28) \quad L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t) \leq c A_0, \quad t \leq T.$$

where L is defined by (5.11).

Remark 5.7. All estimates in this section are made in $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{(r, z) \in \Omega : 0 < \varepsilon < r < R, |z| < a\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we introduced approximate functions $\chi_\varepsilon, v_{\varphi\varepsilon}, v_{r\varepsilon}$ which are such that

$$(5.29) \quad v_{\varphi\varepsilon}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad v_{r\varepsilon}|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \chi_\varepsilon|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0.$$

They are defined by problems (5.2), (5.7) and (4.1), where the appropriate conditions from (5.29) are added. Then estimate (5.28) takes the form

$$(5.30) \quad L_\varepsilon^2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t) \leq c A_0,$$

where L_ε means that it depends on $\chi_\varepsilon, v_{\varphi\varepsilon}, v_{r\varepsilon}$. Then by appropriate passing with ε to 0 we obtain (5.30) for $\varepsilon = 0$. The passing will be performed in [Z4].

6. Decay estimates

In this section we derive estimates guaranteeing global existence.

The calculations in Lemma 6.1 are formal but they can be performed in the more rigorous way presented in Sections 4 and 5.

Lemma 6.1. *Let the assumptions of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 hold. Let $\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $\frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, where $\tilde{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi \zeta^2$ and $\zeta = \zeta(r)$ is introduced in Lemma 5.1. Let*

$$\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^T)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4}}\nu$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ (6.1) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} [\varphi_1(1/r_0, d_1, d_2) + \varphi_2(1/r_0, d_1) \varepsilon^{-3}] d_1^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) e^{-\nu_* t}, \\ & t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where φ_1, φ_2 are increasing positive functions of their arguments, $\nu_* > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let us introduce the notation

$$\Omega_\zeta = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta, \quad \Omega_{\zeta,r} = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta_r.$$

From (5.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\ (6.2) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + c_1(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} \chi^2 dx + c_2(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| \chi^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1(r_0) \sim r_0^{-4}$, $c_2(r_0) \sim r_0^{-3}$.

Multiplying (5.7) $\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi |\tilde{v}_\varphi|^2}{r^2}$ and integrating over Ω we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.3) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\
& \leq \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\tilde{v}_r|}{r^3} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla \zeta v_\varphi \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx \\
& \quad - 2\nu \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_\varphi \nabla \zeta \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx - \nu \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi \Delta \zeta \tilde{v}_\varphi \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we examine the particular terms on the r.h.s. of (6.3). The second integral is bounded by

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + \frac{c_3(r_0)}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 v_\varphi^4 dx,$$

where $c_3(r_0) \sim r_0^{-2}$ and the second integral is estimated by

$$\frac{c_4(r_0)}{2\varepsilon} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx,$$

where $c_4(r_0) \sim r_0^{-6}$.

Summarizing the second integral on the r.h.s. of (6.3) is bounded by

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + \frac{c_4(r_0)}{2\varepsilon} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx.$$

The third integral on the r.h.s. of (6.3) we express in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\nu \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_\varphi \nabla \zeta \frac{v_\varphi v_\varphi^2 \zeta^3}{r^2} dx = -\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_\varphi^4 \nabla \zeta \frac{\zeta^3}{r^2} dx \\
& = \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \nabla \left(\nabla \zeta \frac{\zeta^3}{r^2} \right) dx = \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \Delta \zeta \frac{\zeta^3}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{2} \nu \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 |\nabla \zeta|^2 \frac{\zeta^2}{r^2} dx \\
& \quad - \nu \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \nabla \zeta \cdot \nabla r \frac{\zeta^3}{r^3} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sum of the third and the fourth terms from the r.h.s. of (6.3) equals

$$-\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \Delta \zeta \frac{\zeta^3}{r^2} dx + \frac{3}{2} \nu \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 |\nabla \zeta|^2 \frac{\zeta^2}{r^2} dx - \nu \int_{\Omega} v_\varphi^4 \nabla \zeta \cdot \nabla r \frac{\zeta^3}{r^3} dx \equiv I_1.$$

Hence

$$|I_1| \leq c_5(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx,$$

where $c_5(r_0) \sim r_0^{-4}$.

Assuming $\varepsilon = \frac{\nu}{2}$ in (6.4) and employing the above estimates in (6.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6.5) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\ & \leq \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\tilde{v}_r|}{r^3} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2} dx + \frac{c_4(r_0)}{\nu} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx \\ & \quad + c_5(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities to the first term on the r.h.s. of (6.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.6) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^4 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dx + \frac{c_4(r_0)}{\nu} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx \\ & \quad + c_5(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using estimate (4.28) in (6.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (6.7) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + 3\nu \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \\ & + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4} dx + c_1(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} \chi^2 dx \\ & + c_2(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| \chi^2 dx + c_6(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_6(r_0) \sim r_0^{-4}$.

Multiplying (6.6) by $\frac{4}{\nu^2}$, adding to (6.7) and applying the Hardy inequality to the first integral on the r.h.s. of (6.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.8) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \frac{3}{\nu} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
& + 3\nu \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{v_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq \frac{4}{\nu^3} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^4 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{v_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \\
& + \frac{4c_4(r_0)}{\nu^3} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx + \frac{4c_5(r_0)}{r^2} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx \\
& + c_1(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} \chi^2 dx + c_2(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| \chi^2 dx \\
& + c_6(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming

$$(6.9) \quad 3\nu \geq \frac{4}{\nu^3} \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^T)}^4$$

we obtain from (6.8) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.10) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
& + \nu \left(\frac{3}{\nu^2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{4c_4(r_0)}{\nu^3} d_2^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx + \frac{4c_5(r_0)}{\nu^2} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx \\
& + c_1(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} \chi^2 dx + c_2(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| \chi^2 dx \\
& + c_6(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Poincare inequality in the second expression on the l.h.s. of

(6.10) we can express (6.10) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.11) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\
& + \nu_* \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{4c_4(r_0)}{\nu^3} d_2^4 \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v^2 dx + \frac{4c_5(r_0)}{\nu^2} \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} v_\varphi^4 dx \\
& + c_1(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} \chi^2 dx + c_2(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| \chi^2 dx \\
& + c_6(r_0) \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_* = \min \left\{ \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{1}{c_p}, \frac{3\nu}{c_p} \right\}$ and c_p is the constant from the Poincare inequality.

Multiplying (6.11) by $e^{\nu_* t}$, integrating the result with respect to time we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.12) \quad & \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) e^{\nu_* t} \\
& + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \left\| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}(t')}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{\nu_* t'} dt' \\
& \leq c_7(r_0, d_1, d_2) d_1^2 e^{\nu_* t} + c_2(r_0) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\zeta,r}} |v| |\chi|^2 dx e^{\nu_* t'} dt' \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_7(r_0) \sim r_0^{-4}$.

The second term on the r.h.s. is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.13) \quad & c_2(r_0) \int_0^t \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta,r})} \|\chi\|_{L_4(\Omega_{\zeta,r})}^2 dt' \leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \left\| \nabla \frac{\chi}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta,r})}^2 dt' \\
& + c(1/r_0, d_1) \varepsilon^{-3} d_1^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$(6.14) \quad X(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(t)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2$$

Employing (6.13) and (6.14) in (6.12) and applying the local iteration argument (see [LSU, Ch. 4, Sect. 10]) we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} X(t) &\leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} [c_7(r_0, d_1, d_2) d_1^2 + c(1/r_0, d_1) \varepsilon^{-3} d_1^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} X(0) e^{-\nu_* t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. This concludes the proof.

Let us express inequality (6.15) in the form

$$(6.16) \quad X(t) \leq A + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} X(0) e^{-\nu_* t},$$

where $X(t)$ is defined by (6.14). Then we have

Lemma 6.2. *Let $T > 0$ be so large that*

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} e^{-\nu_* T} < 1, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate is valid

$$(6.18) \quad X((k+1)T) \leq \frac{A}{1 - 1/(1-\varepsilon)e^{-\nu_* T}} + \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} X(0) e^{-\nu_* kT}.$$

Proof follows easily by iteration.

Remark 6.3. In reality (6.1) is a global estimate, however, sometimes, it is convenient to examine problem (1.1) step by step in time. This may decrease a number of restrictions imposed on data (see [Z6]). Since (6.1) holds for any T we see that (6.17) is not very restrictive. Moreover, A is large because it is proportional to $r_0^{-4} \varepsilon^{-3}$, where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and r_0 must be so small that the restriction holds

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^T)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4}} \nu.$$

The above condition can be satisfied either by applying Lemma 3.3 and (2.8) with the Hölder exponent calculated in [Z5] or by applying the local in time regularity result (see Lemmas 2.2, 2.3). However, in the both cases r_0 must be small. We emphasize that smallness of r_0 implies that bounds either in (6.1) or in (6.18) are large.

Now we shall show that the estimate

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} \\ &\leq cA_0 \equiv c\varphi(1/r_0, d_1, d_2) \left[1 + \left\| \frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

implies the following bound

$$(6.20) \quad \|\tilde{v}'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^T)} \leq \varphi(A_0),$$

where $v' = (v_r, v_z)$.

For this purpose we come back to Section 4. Let us recall the notation

$$\eta = \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2}, \quad \vartheta = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_*}{r},$$

and η is a solution to (4.10),

$$(6.21) \quad \Delta\eta + \frac{2\eta_{,r}}{r} = \vartheta, \quad \Delta\eta = \frac{1}{r}(r\eta_{,r})_{,r} + \eta_{,zz}.$$

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that (6.19) holds and A_0 is finite, where φ is an increasing positive function, d_1 is defined by (2.4), d_2 by (2.7) and $\tilde{v}_\varphi(0) = v_\varphi(0)\zeta$, $\tilde{\chi}(0) = \chi(0)\zeta^2$. Moreover, $\zeta = \zeta(r)$ is a smooth cut off function such that $\zeta(r) = 1$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2r_0$. Finally, r_0 is so small that*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^T)} \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{4}}\nu$$

(see (5.10)), where $\Omega_\zeta = \Omega \cap \text{supp } \zeta$. Then (6.20) holds.

Proof. Let us recall that η and ϑ have compact supports with respect to r and they are periodic with respect to z . From Section 4 formula (4.12) we have the estimate

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} \right) dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\vartheta^2 + \vartheta_{,r}^2) dx \equiv I_1. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.22) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 \leq I_1$$

so

$$(6.23) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,rr}^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,rz}^2 \right] dx \leq I_1,$$

where we used that $\eta = \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2}$, $\tilde{\psi} = \psi\zeta_1^2$, $\tilde{v}_r = v_r\zeta_1^2$, $\tilde{v}_z = v_z\zeta_1^2$,

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} v_r &= \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r}, & v_z &= -\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}, & \eta_{,z} &= \frac{\tilde{\psi}_{,z}}{r^2} = \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}, \\ \eta_{,r} &= \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2}\right)_{,r} = \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r^2}\zeta_1^2 - \frac{2\psi}{r^3}\zeta_1^2 + \frac{\psi}{r^2}\zeta_{1,r}^2 \\ &= -\frac{v_z}{r}\zeta_1^2 - \frac{2\psi\zeta_1^2}{r^3} + \frac{\psi}{r^2}\zeta_{1,r}^2 = -\frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r} - \frac{2\tilde{\psi}}{r^3} + \frac{\psi}{r^2}\zeta_{1,r}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.23) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,rr}^2 dx \leq I_1,$$

so

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,rr} = \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,rr}}{r} - 2\frac{\tilde{v}_{r,r}}{r^2} + 2\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r^3}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,rr}^2}{r^2} dx \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,rr}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,r}^2}{r^4} dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dx \right) \equiv J_1.$$

To estimate J_1 we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left| \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,r}}{r} \right|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r} - \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r^2} \right]^2 dx \leq c \left[\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (6.23), we obtain

$$J_1 \leq cI_1 + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r}^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dx \equiv J_2.$$

To estimate J_2 we see that (6.22) implies

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} dx \leq cI_1$$

so

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq cI_1.$$

Moreover, by the Hardy inequality (2.18) for $p = 2$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_r^2}{r^6} dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^4} \left| \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq cI_1.$$

Using the above estimate in J_2 we obtain

$$(6.25) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,rr}^2}{r^2} dx \leq cI_1.$$

Differentiating (6.21) twice with respect to z , multiplying the result by $\eta_{,zz}$ and integrating over Ω we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,zz}|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{,zz}^2)_{,r} dr dz = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,z} \eta_{,zzz} dx,$$

where the integration by parts was performed.

Continuing, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,zz}|^2 dx + \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,zz}^2|_{r=0} dz \leq c \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,z}^2 dx \equiv cI_2.$$

Since

$$\eta_{,zzz} = \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,zz} = \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,zz}}{r}$$

we obtain

$$(6.26) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,zz}^2}{r^2} dx \leq cI_2.$$

We differentiate (6.21) twice with respect to r , multiply the result by $r^2 \eta_{,rr}$ and integrate over Ω . Then we obtain

$$(6.27) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \eta)_{,rr} r^2 \eta_{,rr} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r} \right)_{,rr} r^2 \eta_{,rr} dx = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,rr} r^2 \eta_{,rr} dx.$$

Using

$$\Delta \eta = \eta_{,rr} + \eta_{,zz} + \frac{\eta_{,r}}{r}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \eta)_{,rr} &= \eta_{,rrrr} + \eta_{,rrzz} + \frac{\eta_{,rrr}}{r} - 2 \frac{\eta_{,rr}}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\eta_{,r}}{r^3} \\ &= \Delta \eta_{,rr} - \frac{2\eta_{,rr}}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\eta_{,r}}{r^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.27) takes the form

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} r^2 \Delta \eta_{,rr} \eta_{,rr} dx - 6 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,rr}^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,r} \eta_{,rr}}{r} dx \\ & + 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,rrr} \eta_{,rr} r dx = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,rr} r^2 \eta_{,rr} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in the first term on the l.h.s. we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} r^2 \Delta \eta_{,rr} \eta_{,rr} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \eta_{,rr} \cdot \nabla (r^2 \eta_{,rr}) dx \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,rr}|^2 r^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,rrr} \eta_{,rr} r dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} & 6 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta_{,r} \eta_{,rr}}{r} dx = 3 \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{,r}^2)_{,r} dr dz = -3 \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0} dz, \\ & \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,rr} r^2 \eta_{,rr} dx = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,rr} \eta_{,rr} r^3 dr dz = - \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r} (r^3 \eta_{,rr})_{,r} dr dz. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above results equation (6.28) takes the form

$$(6.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,rr}|^2 r^2 dx + 6 \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,rr}^2 dx + 3 \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0} dz \\ & + \int_{-a}^a \eta_{,rr}^2 r^2|_{r=0} dz \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r} (r^3 \eta_{,rrr} + 3r^2 \eta_{,rr}) dr dz. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities in the r.h.s. of (6.29) and using that functions ϑ and η have compact supports with respect to r , so ϑ and η vanish for $r \geq 2r_0$, we obtain

$$(6.30) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{,rr}|^2 r^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \eta_{,rr}^2 dx \leq c(r_0) \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{,r}^2 dx.$$

Since $\eta = \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2}$, (6.30) implies

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2} \right)_{,rr} \right|^2 r^2 dx \leq cI_1.$$

Continuing, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^2} \right)_{,rr} \right|^2 r^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_{,r}}{r^2} - 2 \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^3} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r} + \frac{\psi \zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} - 2 \frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^3} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx \leq cI_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(6.31) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^3} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\psi \zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} \right|^2 r^2 dx + cI_1.$$

To examine the first integral on the r.h.s. of (6.31) we recall that $\tilde{\psi} = \eta r^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}}{r^3} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\eta}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r} - \frac{\eta}{r^2} \right) \right|^2 r^2 dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\left| \nabla \frac{\eta_{,r}}{r} \right|^2 + \left| \nabla \frac{\eta}{r^2} \right|^2 \right) r^2 dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \eta_{,r}|^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{|\nabla \eta|^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta^2}{r^4} \right) dx \equiv K_1. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (6.22) we obtain

$$K_1 \leq cI_1 + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\eta_{,z}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta^2}{r^4} \right) dx.$$

since the support of η with respect to r is compact, because η vanishes for $r \geq 2r_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,z}^2}{r^2} dx &\leq c(r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,z}^2}{r^{2+2\delta}} dx \leq c(r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^{2\delta}} dx \\ &\leq c(r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2} dx \leq c(r_0)I_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

By the Hardy inequality (2.18) for $p = 2$ with $\eta_{,r} = 0$ for $r \leq \varepsilon$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta^2}{r^4} dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx \leq cI_1.$$

Hence,

$$K_1 \leq cI_1$$

and (6.31) assumes the form

$$(6.32) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx \leq cI_1 + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\psi \zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} \right|^2 r^2 dx.$$

Since

$$\nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r} \right)_{,r} = \frac{\nabla \tilde{v}_{z,r}}{r} - \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,r}}{r^2} - \frac{\nabla \tilde{v}_z}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\tilde{v}_z}{r^3} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon$$

we obtain from (6.32) the inequality

$$(6.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \tilde{v}_{z,r}|^2 dx \leq cI_1 + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\left| \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,r}}{r^2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,z}}{r^2} \right|^2 + \frac{\tilde{v}_z^2}{r^6} \right) r^2 dx \\ & + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\psi \zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} \right|^2 r^2 dx \\ & \leq cI_1 + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_{z,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,z}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\tilde{v}_z^2}{r^4} \right) dx + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\psi \zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} \right) \right|^2 r^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall estimate the middle integral on the r.h.s. of (6.33). Since $v_z = -\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \eta r^2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{z,z} &= -\eta_{,rz}r - 2\eta_{,z} + \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \\ \tilde{v}_z &= -\eta_{,r}r - 2\eta + \frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2, \quad v_{z,r} = -\eta_{,rr}r - 3\eta_{,r} + \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right)_{,r}, \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of (6.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,r}^2}{r^2} dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\eta_{,rr}^2 + \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right)_{,r}^2 \right) dx \\
&\leq cI_1 + c \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \right) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right)_{,r}^2 dx, \\
\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{z,z}^2}{r^2} dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\eta_{,rz}^2 + \frac{\eta_{,z}^2}{r^2} + v_r^2 \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right) dx \leq cI_1 + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_r^2 dx, \\
\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_z^2}{r^4} dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta^2}{r^4} \right) dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \psi^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (6.22) and the Hardy inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta^2}{r^4} \right) dx \leq cI_1.$$

Since $v_r|_{r=0} = 0$ we have that $\psi_{,z}|_{r=0} = 0$, so $\psi|_{r=0} = 0$ can be chosen.

Then

$$\psi = \int_0^r \psi_{,r} dr = \int_0^r \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} r dr$$

and

$$(6.34) \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} v_z^2 dx.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\psi}{r} \zeta_{1,r}^2 \right)_{,r}^2 dx \leq c(1/r_0) \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\psi_{,r}^2}{r^2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 dx \right) \leq c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega} v_z^2 dx.$$

Finally, the last integral on the r.h.s. of (6.33) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&c \left[\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} \frac{\zeta_{1,r}^2}{r} \right|^2 r^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r} \frac{\zeta_{1,r}^2}{r} \right|^2 r^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \psi \left(\frac{\zeta_{1,r}^2}{r^2} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 r^2 dx \right] \\
&\leq c(r_0) \left[\int_{\Omega} (v_r^2 + v_z^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 dx \right] \leq c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega} (v_r^2 + v_z^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (6.33) implies

$$(6.35) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \tilde{v}_{z,r}|^2 dx \leq cI_1 + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega} (v_r^2 + v_z^2) dx.$$

From (6.25), (6.26) and (6.35) after passing with ε to 0 we have that

$$(6.36) \quad \|\tilde{v}'\|_{L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} \leq cA_0,$$

where

$$A_0 = \varphi\left(d_1, d_2, \frac{1}{r_0}\right) \left(1 + \left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2(0)}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\right)$$

Similarly, we have

$$(6.37) \quad \|\tilde{v}'\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq cA_0.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Finally, we need

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that $\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \in V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)$, $v' \in V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)$, $v' = (v_r, v_z)$. Then*

$$(6.38) \quad \|\tilde{v}/r\|_{V_2^1(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)} \leq c(\|\tilde{\chi}/r\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)} + c(1/r_0)\|v'\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}),$$

where $t \leq T$.

Proof. From (6.23) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\vartheta^2 + \vartheta_{,r}^2) dx.$$

In view of definition of ϑ (see (4.6) and (4.7)) we derive

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\vartheta^2 + \vartheta_{,r}^2) dx \leq c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_z^2 + v_{z,r}^2) dx + c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\left| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right|^2 + \left| \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)_{,r} \right|^2 \right) dx.$$

From (6.26) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{v}_{r,zz}^2}{r^2} dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \vartheta_{,z}^2 dx$$

where

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \vartheta^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right)^2 dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_r^2 + v_{z,z}^2) dx.$$

In view of the above inequalities we obtain

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right) \right|^2 dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left(\left| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right|^2 + \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right|^2 \right) dx \\ &+ c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (v_r^2 + v_z^2 + |\nabla v_z|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (4.18) yields

$$(6.40) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \eta_{,z}|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \vartheta^2 dx,$$

where the l.h.s. of (6.40) equals

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r^2} \right|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right|^2 dx$$

and the r.h.s. of (6.40) is estimated by

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \vartheta^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right|^2 dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_z^2 dx,$$

where (6.34) was used.

Hence, (6.40) takes the form

$$(6.41) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \nabla \frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r} \right|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r} \right|^2 dx + c(1/r_0) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v_z^2 dx.$$

Adding the integral with respect to time of (6.39) to L_∞ -norm with respect to time of (6.41) implies (6.38). This concludes the proof.

References

- BIN. Besov, O. V.; Il'in, V. P.; Nikolskii, S. M.: Integral representation of functions and theorems of imbedding, Nauka, Moscow 1975 (in Russian).
- CL. Chae, D.; Lee, J.: On the regularity of the axisymmetric solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Math. Z.* 239 (2002), 645–671.
- Ko. Kochin, N. E.: Vectorial calculations and beginning of tensor calculations, Akademia Nauk SSSR, Moscow 1951 (in Russian).
- L1. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow, Nauka, Moscow 1970 (in Russian).
- L2. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: On unique solvability of three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations under the axial symmetry, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI* 7 (1968), 155–177 (in Russian).
- L3. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: Solutions "in the large" of the nonstationary boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes system with two space variables, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 12 (1959), 427–433.
- LL. Landau, L.; Lifshitz, E.: Hydrodynamics, Nauka, Moscow 1986 (in Russian).
- LSU. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.; Solonnikov, V. A.; Uraltseva, N. N.: Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Nauka, Moscow 1967 (in Russian).
- LU. Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.; Uraltseva, N. N.: Linear and quasilinear equations of elliptic type, Nauka, Moscow 1973 (in Russian).
- MTL. Mahalov, A.; Titi, E. S.; Leibovich, S.: Invariant helical subspaces for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 112 (1990), 193–222.
- NZ. Nowakowski, B.; Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global existence of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical domains, *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)* 36 (2) (2009), 169–182.
- RZ. Renclawowicz, J.; Zajączkowski, W. M.: Large time regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical domains, *Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* 32 (2008), 69–87.
- SS. Seregin, G.; Šverák, V.: On type I singularities of the local axisymmetric solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations
- SZ. Seregin, G.; Zajączkowski, W. M.: A sufficient condition of regularity for axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 39 (2007), 669–685.
- S. Solonnikov, V. A.: Estimates of the solutions of a nonstationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*

- 70 (1964), 213–317; English transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2, 65 (1967), 51–137.
- UY. Ukhovskij, M. R.; Yudovich, V. I.: Axially symmetric motions of ideal and viscous fluids filling all space, *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.* 32 (1968), 59–69 (in Russian).
- ZZ. Zadrzyńska, E.; Zajączkowski, W. M.: Nonstationary Stokes system in Sobolev spaces.
- Z1. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylindrical domain under boundary slip condition, *Gakuto Intern. Ser., Math. Sc. Appl.* 21 (2004), 1–188.
- Z2. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylindrical domain without the axis of symmetry, *Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* 24 (2004), 69–105.
- Z3. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global axially symmetric solutions with large swirl to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* 29 (2007), 295–331.
- Z4. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global regular axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic cylinder, to be published.
- Z5. Zajączkowski, W. M.: The Hölder regularity of swirl, to be published.
- Z6. Zajączkowski, W. M.: On global regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical domains, *Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal.* 37 (2011), 55–85.
- Z7. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Long time existence of regular solutions to Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical domains under boundary slip conditions, *Studia Math.* 169 (2005), 243–285.
- Z8. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in axially symmetric domains under boundary slip conditions, *Diss. Math.* 432 (2005), pp. 138.
- Z9. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylinder, *Banach Center Publ.* 74 (2006), 235–255.
- Z10. Zajączkowski, W. M.: Existence and regularity properties of solutions of some elliptic system in domains with edges, *Diss. Math.* 274 (1988), 95 pp.